

## **Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement**

## **Duties and responsibilities of editors**

Editors will be selected by recognized field experts. Editor is responsible for deciding which articles will be published in the proceedings. The editor may refuse an article because it does not address the topic that is part of the conference or because it is obviously of low quality, so it cannot be considered at all. Articles that are appropriate for the review are then sent to two experts in the field of work. The editor's decision on accepting or rejecting publishing work is based solely on the importance, originality and clarity of the article, and on the importance of the study on the purpose of the conference. The editor and editorial staff may not disclose any information about the submitted article to anyone other than the appropriate author, reviewers, potential reviewers and the publisher.

## **Duties and responsibilities of authors**

The authors of the papers must present the correct view of the study and objectively discuss its meaning. The basic data should be accurately presented in the paper. The article should contain enough detail and references to allow others to understand the work they are doing. Authors must write entirely original works personally, and if the authors use the work and / or the words of others, it is appropriately cited or quoted. The author should not publish manuscripts that describe the same research in more than one journal, proceedings or primary publication. Authors should list the work that has influenced the writing of their work. The authority should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the article's final form. All those who have made a significant contribution should be mentioned as coauthors. When the author discovers a significant mistake or inaccuracy in his own published work, the author's obligation is to immediately notify the editor of the journal or publisher and collaborate with the editor to retract or correct the article.

## **Duties and responsibilities of reviewers**

Reviewers assist the editor in making editorial decisions. Relevant communion with the author can also help the author improve work. The selected reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the research published in the manuscript should notify the editor and withdraw from the review procedure. All manuscripts received for review are considered confidential documents. These manuscripts should not be displayed or discussed with others unless authorized by the editor. Reviewers should identify relevant published works not mentioned by the authors. Preferred information or ideas obtained through reviewing must be kept confidential and not used for personal gain. Reviewers may not consider manuscripts in which conflicts of interest arise from competing, collaborative or other links.